

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

<u>_</u>	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/506,921	09/03/2004	Tadahiko Kubota	09792909-5985	6968	
26263 7590 10/11/2007 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			CREPEAU,	CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080		S TOWER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795		
		• .			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/506,921	KUBOTA, TADAHIKO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	LV IO OET TO EVOIDE AM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONING te, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	September 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 03 September 2004 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□] objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	-	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st or the certified copies not	receivea.			
Attachment(s)		•			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/3/04.		nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/506,921 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 9/4/07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,921

Art Unit: 1795

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the 4. alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gao et al (U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2004/0002005). The reference is directed to a battery comprising an anode comprising lithium metal (26) and an intercalation material (24), and a nonaqueous electrolyte. In [0013] it is disclosed that "[t]he introduction of an electrolyte into the battery promotes a reaction between the electrolyte and lithium metal in the anode, forming a solid electrolyte interface (SEI) at the anode." Thus, the battery electrolyte comprises a precipitate, as recited in claim 1. Although the reference does not teach the claimed process limitations, the patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in a product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Furthermore, once the examiner provides a rationale tending to show that the claimed product appears to be the same or similar to that of the prior art, although produced by a different process, the burden shifts to applicant to come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. In re Marosi, 710 F.2d 798, 802, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983). See also MPEP §2113. In this case, the composition of the precipitate is not specified in the claims, and even though the claims define an aromatic compound, it is not clear if this material is present in the post-precipitation electrolytic solution. Further, the claimed "metal sheet" is contained in the process recitation and is not considered to be part of the electrolyte, although Gao et al. disclose a copper foil anode

Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

current collector (22) in Fig. 1. Accordingly, the instant claims are not considered to be distinguished over Gao et al.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, can be reached at (571) 272-1292. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents may be faxed to the central fax server at (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Crepeau Primary Examiner Art Unit 1795 October 10, 2007